arXiv:1604.08480v1 [math.AT] 28 Apr 2016

ON THE EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN Θ_n-SPACES AND ITERATED SEGAL SPACES

RUNE HAUGSENG

Abstract. We give a new proof of the equivalence between two of the main models for (∞, n)-categories, namely the n-fold Segal spaces of Barwick and the Θ_n-spaces of Rezk, by proving that these are algebras for the same monad on the ∞-category of n-globular spaces. The proof works for a broad class of ∞-categories that includes all ∞-topoi.

1. Introduction

Just as ∞-categories (or $(\infty, 1)$-categories) are a homotopical version of categories, where in addition to objects and morphisms we have homotopies between morphisms, homotopies of homotopies, and so forth, (∞, n)-*categories* are a homotopical version of n-categories. This means that they have i-morphisms between $(i-1)$-morphisms for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and also homotopies between n-morphisms, homotopies of homotopies, etc. (or in other words, invertible i-morphisms for $i > n$), with composition of i-morphisms only associative up to a coherent choice of higher homotopies. There are now a number of good models for (∞, n)-categories, but the two that have seen the most use so far are *n-fold Segal spaces* and *Θ_n-spaces*. Iterated Segal spaces were first defined in Barwick's thesis [Bar05], building on Rezk's work on *Segal spaces* [Rez01], and were later generalized by Lurie [Lur09b, §1] to the setting of ∞-topoi; they are presheaves of spaces on the category Δ^n satisfying iteratively defined "Segal conditions" and constancy conditions. Θ_n-spaces, which were introduced by Rezk [Rez10] (no doubt influenced by Joyal's unpublished work on Θ_n-sets and Berger's description of n-fold loop spaces [Ber07]), are similarly presheaves of spaces on categories Θ_n that satisfy appropriate Segal conditions; in this paper we consider their natural generalization to ∞-topoi, which we will refer to as *Segal Θ_n-objects* for clarity.

In [BSP11] Barwick and Schommer-Pries give axioms that characterize the homotopy theory of (∞, n)-categories. They also prove that these axioms are satisfied in the case of n-fold Segal spaces and Θ_n-spaces, which implies that these two models are equivalent. Another comparison, which relates the two models directly in the setting of model categories, has been given more recently by Bergner and Rezk [BR14].

The goal of this short paper is to give a new, conceptual proof of this equivalence: we will show that both models are the ∞-categories of algebras for a monad on the ∞-category of n-globular spaces (i.e. presheaves of spaces on the n-globular category, cf. Definition 2.5), and that these two monads are equivalent. This also brings out the relation between (∞, n)-categories and n-categories: strict n-categories are the algebras for the analogous monad on the category of n-globular *sets*.

Our proof only makes use of formal properties of the ∞-category of spaces that hold for all ∞-topoi, so we obtain a comparison between iterated Segal objects and Segal Θ_n-objects in any ∞-topos $\mathcal{X}$. In fact, our comparison works for a general

Date: April 29, 2016.

class of ∞-categories (equipped with a full subcategory of "constant" objects), which allows us to apply the comparison iteratively and conclude that Segal $\Theta_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \Theta_{n_k}$-objects in $\mathcal{X}$ that are *reduced* (i.e. satisfy certain constancy conditions) are equivalent to Segal $\Theta_{n_1+\cdots+n_k}$-objects.

Remark 1.1. In this paper we are concerned with the "algebraic" theory of (∞, n)-categories, i.e. we will not invert the fully faithful and essentially surjective morphisms. However, it is easy to see that the fully faithful and essentially surjective morphisms are preserved under our equivalence, so the two models remain equivalent after this localization. For iterated Segal spaces this localization corresponds, by results of Rezk (for $n = 1$), Barwick and Lurie (for the generalization to ∞-topoi), to the full subcategory of *complete* objects, and it is also easy to show that these correspond under our equivalence to the complete Θ_n-spaces of Rezk.

1.1. **Notation.** This paper is written in the language of ∞-categories, and we reuse some of the terminology and notation of [Lur09a, Lur14] without comment. If $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ are ∞-categories we will write $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{X})$ for the ∞-category $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{X})$ of presheaves on $\mathcal{C}$ valued in $\mathcal{X}$.

1.2. **Overview.** In §2 we introduce the objects we will be concerned with in this paper, namely reduced Segal Θ_n-objects in presentable ∞-categories with good constants. Then in §3 we show that these are the algebras for a monad, and describe this monad explicitly. Finally, in §4 we prove our comparison result, Theorem 4.1.

2. Θ_n-Objects and Segal Conditions

In this section we will define our main objects of study in this paper: *reduced Segal Θ_n-objects*, which are certain presheaves on the categories Θ_n. We begin by recalling the definition of the categories Θ_n; these categories were originally introduced by Joyal, but here we make use of the inductive reformulation of the definition due to Berger [Ber07].

Definition 2.1. The category Θ_n is defined inductively as follows: First set Θ_0 to be the final category $*$. Then define Θ_n to be the category with objects $[n](I_1, \dots, I_n)$ with $[n] \in \Delta$ and $I_i \in \Theta_{n-1}$; a morphism $[n](I_1, \dots, I_n) \to [m](J_1, \dots, J_m)$ is given by a morphism $\phi\colon [n] \to [m]$ in Δ and morphisms $\psi_{ij}\colon I_i \to J_j$ in Θ_{n-1} where $0 < i \leq n$ and $\phi(i-1) < j \leq \phi(i)$. If $\mathcal{X}$ is an ∞-category, we will refer to presheaves $\Theta_n^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{X}$ as *Θ_n-objects* in $\mathcal{X}$.

The objects of Θ_n can be thought of as n-dimensional pasting diagrams for compositions in n-categories. We now wish to define the appropriate *Segal conditions* for Θ_n-objects that make their values at such a pasting diagram decompose appropriately as a limit of the values at the basic i-morphisms ($i = 0, \dots, n$). These were originally specified by Rezk [Rez10], but we will use an alternative formulation influenced by the work of Barwick on operator categories [Bar13], starting with the observation that the category Θ_n has a useful factorization system:

Definition 2.2. Recall that a morphism $\phi\colon [n] \to [m]$ in Δ is *inert* if it is the inclusion of a subinterval in $[m]$, i.e. $\phi(i) = \phi(0) + i$ for all i, and *active* if it preserves the endpoints, i.e. $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(n) = m$. We then inductively say a morphism (ϕ, ψ_{ij}) in Θ_n is *inert* if ϕ is inert in Δ and each ψ_{ij} is inert in Θ_{n-1}, and *active* if ϕ is active in Δ and each ψ_{ij} is active in Θ_{n-1}. We write $\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}$ for the subcategory of Θ_n containing only the inert maps and $i_n\colon \Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}} \to \Theta_n$ for the inclusion.

Lemma 2.3. *The active and inert morphisms in Θ_n form a factorization system.*

Proof. This is a special case of [Bar13, Lemma 8.3]; it is also easy to check by hand. □

Remark 2.4. Since objects of Θ_n have no non-trivial automorphisms, the active-inert factorizations are necessarily *strictly* unique.

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathbb{G}_n$, the *n-globular category*, be the category with objects C_i, $i = 0, \dots, n$, and morphisms generated by $s_i, t_i \colon C_{i-1} \to C_i$ with relations $s_i s_{i-1} = t_i s_{i-1}$ and $s_i t_{i-1} = t_i t_{i-1}$. We can informally depict this category as

$$C_0 \rightrightarrows C_1 \rightrightarrows \cdots \rightrightarrows C_n.$$

We refer to the object C_k as the *k-cell*. There is a fully faithful inclusion $\gamma_n \colon \mathbb{G}_n \to \Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}$, which is defined inductively by

$$\gamma_n(C_i) = \begin{cases} [1](\gamma_{n-1}(C_{i-1})), & i > 0 \\ [0](), & i = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_n(s_i) = \begin{cases} [1](\gamma_{n-1}(s_{i-1})), & i > 0 \\ d_1 \colon [0]() \to [1]([0]()) & i = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_n(t_i) = \begin{cases} [1](\gamma_{n-1}(t_{i-1})), & i > 0 \\ d_0 \colon [0]() \to [1]([0]()), & i = 0. \end{cases}$$

We abusively write C_i also for $\gamma_n(C_i) \in \Theta_n$. Given $I \in \Theta_n$, we will write $\mathbb{G}_{n/I}$ for the category $\mathbb{G}_n \times_{\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}} (\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}})_{/I}$, and refer to its objects as the *cells* of I.

Definition 2.6. Suppose $\mathcal{X}$ is a presentable ∞-category. A presheaf $F \colon \Theta_n^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a *Segal Θ_n-object* if its restriction $F|_{\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}}$ is the right Kan extension along γ_n of its restriction to $\mathbb{G}_n^{\mathrm{op}}$ — in other words, for I in Θ_n the natural map $F(I) \to \lim_{C \in \mathbb{G}_{n/I}^{op}} F(C)$ is an equivalence. We write $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Seg}}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X})$ for the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X})$ spanned by the Segal Θ_n-objects, and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Seg}}(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X})$ for the analogous subcategory of $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X})$; these are accessible localizations of $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X})$, respectively.

For later use, we note that the Segal conditions imply more general decompositions of $F(I)$ as limits:

Definition 2.7. Suppose $f \colon I \to J$ is an active morphism in Θ_n. For $\alpha \colon C \to I$ in $\mathbb{G}_{n/I}$, let $C \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} J_\alpha \xrightarrow{i_\alpha} J$ be the (unique) active-inert factorization of $f \circ \alpha \colon C \to J$. Given a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\xi} & C' \\ & {\scriptstyle\alpha}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle\alpha'} & \\ & I & \end{array}$$

in $\mathbb{G}_{n/I}$, the composite $C \to C' \to J_{\alpha'}$ has an active-inert factorization $C \to X \to J_{\alpha'}$. Since this also gives an active-inert factorization of $C \to J_{\alpha'} \to J$ we see that $X = J_\alpha$, and so ξ determines an inert morphism $J_\alpha \to J_{\alpha'}$. We thus get a functor $\mathbb{G}_{n/I} \to \mathrm{Cat}$ by sending α to $\mathbb{G}_{n/J_\alpha}$ and a morphism in $\mathbb{G}_{n/I}$ to the functor given by composition with the associated inert morphism $J_\alpha \to J_{\alpha'}$. Let $\mathbb{G}_{n/f} \to \mathbb{G}_{n/I}$ denote the corresponding coCartesian fibration. Composition with the inert morphisms $J_\alpha \to J$ gives a functor $\mathbb{G}_{n/f} \to \mathbb{G}_{n/J}$.

Lemma 2.8. *For any active morphism $f \colon I \to J$ in Θ_n, the functor $\mathbb{G}_{n/f} \to \mathbb{G}_{n/J}$ is cofinal.*

Proof. By [Lur09a, Theorem 4.1.3.1] it suffices to show that for every $\epsilon\colon C \to J \in \mathbb{G}_{n/J}$, the category $(\mathbb{G}_{n/f})_{\epsilon/} := \mathbb{G}_{n/f} \times_{\mathbb{G}_{n/J}} (\mathbb{G}_{n/J})_{\epsilon/}$ is weakly contractible. But by inspection this category always has an initial object, corresponding to the cell of I that is the intersection of all the cells whose image contains ϵ. □

Lemma 2.9. *Suppose $F \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X})$ is a Segal object. Then for any active morphism $f\colon I \to J$, the natural map*

$$F(J) \to \lim_{\alpha \in \mathbb{G}^{op}_{n/I}} F(J_\alpha)$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. Using the Segal conditions for J_α we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \in \mathbb{G}^{op}_{n/I}} F(J_\alpha) \simeq \lim_{\alpha \in \mathbb{G}^{op}_{n/I}} \lim_{C \to J_\alpha \in \mathbb{G}^{\mathrm{op}}_{n/J_\alpha}} F(C).$$

By [Hau16, Corollary 5.7] we can rewrite this limit as $\lim_{C \in \mathbb{G}^{\mathrm{op}}_{n/f}} F(C)$, and by Lemma 2.8 this limit is equivalent to $\lim_{C \to J \in \mathbb{G}^{\mathrm{op}}_{n/J}} F(C)$, which we know by the Segal condition for J is equivalent to $F(J)$. □

For the ∞-category $\mathcal{S}$ of spaces, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Seg}}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{S})$ is the ∞-category underlying Rezk's model category of Θ_n-spaces from [Rez10]. More generally, if $\mathcal{X}$ is, say, an ∞-topos, the ∞-category $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Seg}}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X})$ gives the (algebraic) ∞-category of internal (∞, n)-categories in $\mathcal{X}$. We would like to be able to iterate this definition, so that we get a good definition of Segal Θ_m-objects in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Seg}}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X})$. Just as in Barwick's definition of n-fold Segal spaces, this requires forcing some of the images to be constant; to formalize this notion, it is convenient to introduce the following technical definition:

Definition 2.10. A *presentable ∞-category with good constants* is a pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$ consisting of an ∞-category $\mathcal{X}$ together with a full subcategory $\mathcal{U}$ satisfying the following requirements:

(a) $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ are both presentable.
(b) The inclusion $\mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ preserves all limits and colimits (and hence, by the adjoint functor theorem, has both a left and a right adjoint).
(c) Coproducts in $\mathcal{U}$ are disjoint, i.e. for any two objects $U, U' \in \mathcal{U}$, the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U' & \longrightarrow & U \amalg U' \end{array}$$

is Cartesian.
(d) Coproducts over $\mathcal{U}$ are universal, i.e. for any morphism $f\colon X \to U$ in $\mathcal{X}$ with $U \in \mathcal{U}$, the functor $f^*\colon \mathcal{X}_{/U} \to \mathcal{X}_{/X}$, given by pullback along f, preserves the initial object and arbitrary coproducts.

Example 2.11. If $\mathcal{X}$ is an ∞-topos, then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ is a presentable ∞-category with good constants by [Lur09a, Theorem 6.1.0.6].

Remark 2.12. Since we are requiring pullbacks over $\mathcal{U}$ to preserve *all* coproducts in $\mathcal{X}$, not just coproducts in $\mathcal{U}$, a *distributor* in the sense of Lurie [Lur09b, Definition 1.2.1] is not necessarily a presentable ∞-category with good constants. However, the key examples — ∞-topoi and iterated Θ_n-objects in ∞-topoi — are both distributors *and* presentable ∞-categories with good constants.

Definition 2.13. Suppose $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ is a presentable ∞-category with good constants. We say a presheaf $X\in\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}_n;\mathcal{X})$ is *reduced* if $X(C_i)$ is in $\mathcal{U}$ for all $i<n$; we write $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathbb{G}_n;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ for the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}_n;\mathcal{X})$ spanned by the reduced objects. A presheaf X in $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}};\mathcal{X})$ or $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X})$ is then called *reduced* if $X|_{\mathbb{G}_n^{\mathrm{op}}}$ is reduced, and a *reduced Segal Θ_n- (or $\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}$-)object* if it is both reduced and a Segal Θ_n- (or $\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}$-)object. We write $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}};\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ for the full subcategories of $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}};\mathcal{X})$, respectively, spanned by the reduced Segal objects.

Proposition 2.14. *Suppose $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ is a presentable ∞-category with good constants.*

(i) The ∞-category $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ is presentable, and the inclusion

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})\hookrightarrow\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X})$$

admits a left adjoint L_n.

(ii) The functor $c^*\colon\mathcal{U}\to\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X})$ that takes an object in $\mathcal{U}$ to the constant presheaf with that value is fully faithful and takes values in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$.

(iii) The pair $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U}),\mathcal{U})$, with $\mathcal{U}$ viewed as the full subcategory of constant presheaves, is a presentable ∞-category with good constants.

Before we give the proof of this Proposition, we need some technical lemmas:

Lemma 2.15. *Let $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ be a presentable ∞-category with good constants. Suppose given maps of sets $f\colon A\to B$ and $g\colon C\to B$, objects $X_a\in\mathcal{X}$ for $a\in A$, $Y_c\in\mathcal{X}$ for $c\in C$, $U_b\in\mathcal{U}$ for $b\in B$, and morphisms $\phi_a\colon X_a\to U_{f(a)}$ and $\psi_c\colon Y_c\to U_{g(c)}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ for all $a\in A$ and $c\in C$. Then the natural map*

$$\coprod_{(a,b,c)\in A\times_B C}X_a\times_{U_b}Y_c\to\left(\coprod_{a\in A}X_a\right)\times_{(\coprod_{b\in B}U_b)}\left(\coprod_{c\in C}Y_c\right)$$

is an equivalence in $\mathcal{X}$.

Proof. We first consider the case where f and g are both id_B. Then we wish to prove that the natural map

$$\coprod_{b\in B}X_b\times_{U_b}Y_b\to\left(\coprod_{b\in B}X_b\right)\times_{(\coprod_{b\in B}U_b)}\left(\coprod_{b\in B}Y_b\right)$$

is an equivalence. The map

$$\coprod_{i,j\in B}X_i\times_{(\coprod_{b\in B}U_b)}Y_j\to\left(\coprod_{b\in B}X_b\right)\times_{(\coprod_{b\in B}U_b)}\left(\coprod_{b\in B}Y_b\right)$$

is an equivalence by condition (d) in Definition 2.10. To complete the proof in this case it therefore suffices to show that

$$X_i\times_{(\coprod_{b\in B}U_b)}Y_j\simeq\begin{cases}\emptyset, & i\neq j,\\ X_i\times_{U_i}Y_i, & i=j.\end{cases}$$

Since $X_i\times_{(\coprod_{b\in B}U_b)}Y_j\simeq X_i\times_{U_i}U_i\times_{(\coprod_{b\in B}U_b)}U_j\times_{U_j}Y_j$ it is enough to show that

$$U_i\times_{(\coprod_{b\in B}U_b)}U_j\simeq\begin{cases}\emptyset, & i\neq j,\\ U_i, & i=j\end{cases}$$

(in the case $i\neq j$ this is sufficient since pullbacks over objects in $\mathcal{U}$ preserve the initial object). To see this we observe that, setting $V:=\coprod_{b\neq i}U_b$, for $i\neq j$ we have

$$U_i\times_{(\coprod_{b\in B}U_b)}U_j\simeq U_i\times_{U_i\amalg V}V\times_V U_j\simeq\emptyset\times_V U_j\simeq\emptyset$$

using that coproducts in $\mathcal{U}$ are disjoint and pullbacks in $\mathcal{U}$ preserve the initial object, and for $i = j$ we have

$$U_i \simeq U_i \times_{U_i \amalg V} (U_i \amalg V) \simeq (U_i \times_{U_i \amalg V} U_i) \amalg (U_i \times_{U_i \amalg V} V) \simeq U_i \times_{U_i \amalg V} U_i.$$

We now turn to the general case. For each $b \in B$, let A_b and C_b denote the fibres of f and g at b. Then the natural map

$$\coprod_{(a,c) \in A_b \times C_b} X_a \times_{U_b} Y_c \to \left(\coprod_{a \in A_b} X_a \right) \times_{U_b} \left(\coprod_{c \in C_b} Y_c \right)$$

is an equivalence by condition (d) in Definition 2.10. Since $A \cong \amalg_{b \in B} A_b$ etc., taking the coproduct of these equivalences over $b \in B$ we can complete the proof by applying the previous case. □

Lemma 2.16. *For each J in Θ_n, the category $\mathbb{G}_{n/J}$ is weakly contractible.*

Proof. Suppose $J = [j](\dots)$; then there is an obvious active map

$$f \colon I = [j](C_{n-1}, \dots, C_{n-1}) \to J.$$

By Lemma 2.8 the map $\mathbb{G}_{n/f} \to \mathbb{G}_{n/J}$ is cofinal and hence in particular a weak homotopy equivalence, so it suffices to show that $\mathbb{G}_{n/f}$ is weakly contractible.

Let $\mathbb{\Lambda}_j$ denote the partially ordered set of pairs (a, b) with $0 \leq a \leq b \leq j$ and $b - a \leq 1$, with $(a, b) \leq (a', b')$ when $a \leq a' \leq b' \leq b$. This is weakly contractible since it's just a wedge of Δ^1's. Moreover, the inclusion $\mathbb{\Lambda}_j \to \mathbb{G}_{n/I}$ that takes the objects (i, i) to the n-cells of I and the objects $(i, i+1)$ to the 0-cells connecting them, is cofinal. Thus $\mathbb{G}_{n/I}$ is weakly contractible; to complete the proof we will show that the coCartesian fibration $\mathbb{G}_{n/f} \to \mathbb{G}_{n/I}$ is cofinal and hence a weak homotopy equivalence. Since this is a coCartesian fibration, using [Lur09a, Theorem 4.1.3.1] we see that this is equivalent to the fibres $\mathbb{G}_{n/J_\alpha}$ being weakly contractible. Pulling back to $\mathbb{\Lambda}_j$ we conclude that it suffices to check this for 0-cells, where the fibre is a point, and n-cells. If α is an n-cell, then $J_\alpha = [1](I_\alpha)$, and $\mathbb{G}_{n/J_\alpha}$ consists of $\mathbb{G}_{n-1/I_\alpha}$ together with two 0-cells that map to everything else. Appealing to [Lur09a, Theorem 4.1.3.1] again we see that $\mathbb{G}_{n-1/I_\alpha} \to \mathbb{G}_{n/J_\alpha}$ is cofinal, which allows us to finish the proof by induction. □

Proof of Proposition 2.14. The ∞-category $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$ fits in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Seg}}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}_{n-1}; \mathcal{U}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}_{n-1}; \mathcal{X}).
\end{array}$$

where both squares are Cartesian. Moreover, the bottom horizontal and the two right vertical functors are right adjoints between presentable ∞-categories. By [Lur09a, Theorem 5.5.3.18] limits in the ∞-category Pr^{R} of presentable ∞-categories and right adjoints are computed in that of large ∞-categories, hence all ∞-categories in this diagram are presentable and all functors are right adjoints. This proves (i).

Since Θ_n is weakly contractible (as it has an initial object) the image of the constant presheaf functor $c^* \colon \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{P}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{P}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X})$ is fully faithful. Constant presheaves on objects in $\mathcal{U}$ satisfy the Segal condition by Lemma 2.16, so this functor factors through $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$, which gives (ii).

For (iii), we already know conditions (a) and (c) in Definition 2.10. Limits in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ are computed in $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X})$, i.e. objectwise, and colimits are given by the localizations of the corresponding colimits in $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X})$; since constant presheaves on objects in $\mathcal{U}$ are already local, this implies condition (b). It remains to check condition (d), i.e. given maps $Y_i \to c^*U$ for $i \in S$ we need to show that the natural map

$$\coprod_i X \times_{c^*U} Y_i \to X \times_{c^*U} \coprod_i Y_i$$

is an equivalence. To see this we will first check that the inclusion $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X})$ preserves the initial object and arbitrary coproducts. It suffices to show that for objects $Y_i \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ for $i \in S$, the coproduct $Y := \coprod_{i\in S} Y_i$ in $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X})$ is a reduced Segal Θ_n-object. Since $\mathcal{U}$ is closed under colimits in $\mathcal{X}$, the object Y is reduced, and it remains to show that for $J \in \Theta_n$, the map

$$\coprod_{i\in S} Y_i(I) \to \lim_{C\to I\in \mathbb{G}_{n/I}^{\mathrm{op}}} \coprod_{i\in S} Y_i(C)$$

is an equivalence. This limit can be written as an iterated pullback over objects in $\mathcal{U}$, so this follows from Lemma 2.15. This means that it suffices to show that for $I \in \Theta_n$ we have that

$$\coprod_i X(I) \times_U Y_i(I) \to X(I) \times_U \coprod_i Y_i(I)$$

is an equivalence, which is true since U is in $\mathcal{U}$. □

Definition 2.17. For $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ a presentable ∞-category with good constants, we write $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n\times\Theta_m;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ for the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n\times\Theta_m;\mathcal{X})$ corresponding to $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_m;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U}),\mathcal{U})$. Similarly, we (inductively) define $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n_1}\times\cdots\times\Theta_{n_k};\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n_1,\mathrm{i}}\times\cdots\times\Theta_{n_k,\mathrm{i}};\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$

Example 2.18. The ∞-category $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Delta^n;\mathcal{S})$ is the ∞-category of Barwick's *n-fold Segal spaces* [Bar05]. More generally, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Delta^n;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ gives Lurie's n-fold $\mathcal{U}$-Segal spaces from [Lur09b].

3. The Free Reduced Segal Θ_n-Object Monad

Our goal in this section is to show that the ∞-category $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ is the ∞-category of algebras for a monad on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathbb{G}_n;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$, and to understand this monad explicitly. Before we state our precise result, we must introduce some notation:

Definition 3.1. For $I \in \Theta_n$, let $\mathrm{Act}(I)$ denote the set of active morphisms $I \to J$ in Θ_n. A morphism $f\colon I' \to I$ determines a map of sets $f^*\colon \mathrm{Act}(I) \to \mathrm{Act}(I')$ by taking $\phi\colon I \to J$ to the active morphism $\phi'\colon I' \to J'$ that gives the (unique) active-inert factorization of $I' \to I \to J$. Since this factorization is unique, it is easy to see that this determines a functor $\mathrm{Act}\colon \Theta_n^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{Set}$.

Definition 3.2. Define $\iota_n\colon \Theta_{n-1} \to \Theta_n$ inductively by taking $\iota_1\colon * = \Theta_0 \to \Theta_1 = \Delta$ to be the inclusion of $[0]$ and setting

$$\iota_n([m](I_1,\dots,I_m)) = [m](\iota_{n-1}(I_1),\dots,\iota_{n-1}(I_m)).$$

Notice that ι_n is fully faithful. We write $\iota_k^n := \iota_n \circ \cdots \circ \iota_{k+1}\colon \Theta_k \to \Theta_n$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ be a presentable ∞-category with good constants.*

(i) The functor

$$i_n^*\colon \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}};\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$$

has a left adjoint F_n.

(ii) The adjunction $F_n \dashv i_n^*$ is monadic.

(iii) The monad $T_n := i_n^* F_n$ *on* $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$ *satisfies*

$$T_n X(I) \simeq \coprod_{I \to J \in \mathrm{Act}(I)} X(J).$$

In particular,

$$T_n X(C_k) \simeq \coprod_{J \in \Theta_k} X(\iota_k^n J).$$

The proof relies on a simple description of the left Kan extension functor $i_{n,!}$, which we prove first:

Lemma 3.4. *The functor* $i_{n,!} \colon \mathcal{P}(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X})$ *can be described explicitly as*

$$i_{n,!} F(I) \simeq \coprod_{I \to J \in \mathrm{Act}(I)} F(J).$$

In particular, $i_{n,!} F(C_k) \simeq \coprod_{I \in \mathrm{ob}\, \Theta_k} F(\iota_k^n(I))$.

Proof. Since the active and inert maps form a factorization system on Θ_n, for every object $X = (J, f \colon I \to J)$ in $(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}})_{I/}$ the category $(\mathrm{Act}(I))_{/X}$, which consists of active-inert factorizations of f, is contractible. The inclusion $\mathrm{Act}(I) \to (\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{op}})_{/I}$ is therefore cofinal by [Lur09a, Theorem 4.1.3.1], hence the left Kan extension $i_{n,!}F$ is indeed given by

$$i_{n,!} F(I) \simeq \operatorname*{colim}_{(I \to J) \in (\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{op}})_{/I}} F(J) \simeq \coprod_{I \to J \in \mathrm{Act}(I)} F(J).$$

If $I = C_k$ then the only objects of Θ_n that admit an active map from C_k are those in the image of the fully faithful functor $\iota_k^n \colon \Theta_k \to \Theta_n$ (and these active maps are unique), which gives the expression for $i_{n,!}F(C_i)$. □

We need one more observation:

Lemma 3.5. *Given* $I \in \Theta_n$*, the natural map of sets*

$$\mathrm{Act}(I) \to \lim_{C \to I \in \mathbb{G}_{n/I}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{Act}(C)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We will prove this by induction on n (starting with the case $n = 1$, which is trivial). First suppose $I = [1](J)$ for some $J \in \Theta_{n-1}$. Then it is immediate from the definition of active maps in Θ_n that

$$\mathrm{Act}(I) \cong \coprod_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Act}(J)^{\times n}.$$

By assumption we have $\mathrm{Act}(J) \cong \lim_{C \to J \in \mathbb{G}_{n-1/J}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{Act}(C)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Act}(J) &\cong \coprod_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\lim_{C \to J \in \mathbb{G}_{n-1/J}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{Act}(C) \right)^{\times n} \cong \lim_{C \to J \in \mathbb{G}_{n-1/J}^{\mathrm{op}}} \left(\coprod_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Act}(C)^{\times n} \right) \\ &\cong \lim_{C \to J \in \mathbb{G}_{n-1/J}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{Act}([1](C)) \cong \lim_{C' \to I \in \mathbb{G}_{n/I}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{Act}(C'), \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism holds since limits commute and the argument of Lemma 2.15 is also valid for the category of sets, and the final isomorphism follows by cofinality as in the proof of Lemma 2.16.

For a general $I = [n](J_1, \dots, J_n)$, let $I_i = [1](J_i)$, then the definition of active morphisms in Θ_n immediately implies that $\mathrm{Act}(I) \cong \mathrm{Act}(I_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Act}(I_n)$ (and $\mathrm{Act}(C_0) \cong *$). If $f \colon K := [n](C_{n-1}, \dots, C_{n-1}) \to I$ denotes the active map given by

$\mathrm{id}_{[n]}$ and the unique active maps $C_{n-1} \to J_i$, then by the same cofinality argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.16 we get isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Act}(I) \cong \lim_{\alpha \in \mathbb{G}_{n/K}^{\mathrm{op}}} \lim_{C \in \mathbb{G}_{n/I_\alpha}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{Act}(C) \cong \lim_{C \in \mathbb{G}_{n/f}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{Act}(C) \cong \lim_{C \in \mathbb{G}_{n/I}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{Act}(C),$$

where the last isomorphism follows from Lemma 2.8. □

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Let L_n denote the localization functor from $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X})$ to $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$; then $L_n i_{n,!}$ clearly restricts to a left adjoint to i_n^*, which gives (i).

To see that the adjunction is monadic it suffices by [Lur14, Theorem 4.7.4.5] to prove that i_n^* detects equivalences and that colimits of i_n^*-split simplicial objects exist in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$ and are preserved by i_n^*. Since $\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}$ is a subcategory of Θ_n containing all the objects it is clear that i_n^* detects equivalences. Suppose we have an i_n^*-split simplicial object $X_\bullet$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$, i.e. $i_n^* X_\bullet$ extends to a split simplicial object $X'_\bullet \colon \Delta_{-\infty}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$. If we consider $X_\bullet$ as a diagram in $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X})$ with colimit X, then this colimit is preserved by $i_n^* \colon \mathcal{P}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X})$ (since this functor is a left adjoint). But by [Lur14, Remark 4.7.3.3], the diagram $X'_\bullet$ is a colimit diagram also when viewed as a diagram in $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X})$, so $i_n^* X \simeq X'_{-\infty}$. This means that X is a reduced Segal Θ_n-object, and so it is also the colimit of $X_\bullet$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$, and its image in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$ is $X'_{-\infty}$, as required. This proves (ii).

To prove (iii), we will show that if $X \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$ then $i_{n,!} X$ is a reduced Segal Θ_n-space, hence $F_n X$ is just given by the left Kan extension $i_{n,!} X$:

To see that $i_{n,!}$ is reduced, we observe that for $i < n$ the expression for $i_{n,!} F(C_i)$ in Lemma 3.4 is a coproduct of limits of objects in $\mathcal{U}$, and hence is also in $\mathcal{U}$ since this is closed in $\mathcal{X}$ under all limits and colimits.

Now since X is a Segal $\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}$-object we have, using Lemma 2.9,

$$i_{n,!} X(I) \simeq \coprod_{I \to J \in \mathrm{Act}(I)} X(J) \simeq \coprod_{I \to J \in \mathrm{Act}(I)} \lim_{\alpha \in \mathbb{G}_{n/I}} X(J_\alpha).$$

These limits over $\mathbb{G}_{n/I}$ can be rewritten as iterated pullbacks over objects in $\mathcal{U}$, and by Lemma 3.5 we have that $\mathrm{Act}(I)$ is equivalent to $\lim_{\alpha \colon C \to I \in \mathbb{G}_{n/I}} \mathrm{Act}(C)$. Applying Lemma 2.15 iteratively we can then conclude that the natural map

$$\coprod_{I \to J \in \mathrm{Act}(I)} \lim_{\alpha \in \mathbb{G}_{n/I}} X(J_\alpha) \to \lim_{\alpha \colon C \to I \in \mathbb{G}_{n/I}} \coprod_{C \to J_\alpha} X(J_\alpha)$$

is an equivalence. Here the target is equivalent to $\lim_{\alpha \colon C \to I \in \mathbb{G}_{n/I}} i_{n,!} X(C)$, i.e. $i_{n,!} X$ satisfies the Segal condition. The expression for $F_n X(C_i)$ is then immediate from Lemma 3.4. □

4. Comparison

Our goal in this section is to prove our comparison result. More precisely, we will show:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\tau_{1,n} \colon \Delta \times \Theta_n \to \Theta_{n+1}$ be the functor determined by sending $([n], I)$ to $[n](I, \dots, I)$. Then composition with $\tau_{1,n}$ induces, for $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$ a presentable ∞-category with good constants, an equivalence*

$$\tau_{1,n}^* \colon \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n+1}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Delta \times \Theta_n; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}).$$

Iterating this result, we get:

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\tau_{k,n} \colon \Delta^k \times \Theta_n \to \Theta_{n+k}$ be defined inductively as*

$$\Delta^k \times \Theta_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_\Delta \times \tau_{k-1,n}} \Delta \times \Theta_{n+k-1} \xrightarrow{\tau_{1,n+k-1}} \Theta_{n+k}.$$

Then for $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ any presentable ∞-category with good constants the functor

$$\tau^*_{k,n}\colon \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\mathbb{\Delta}^k\times\Theta_n;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})\to\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n+k};\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$$

is an equivalence. □

In particular, taking $\mathcal{X}$ to be an ∞-topos and $k=0$ we get an equivalence between the ∞-category $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\mathbb{\Delta}^n;\mathcal{X})$ of n-fold Segal spaces in $\mathcal{X}$ and the ∞-category $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Seg}}(\Theta_n;\mathcal{X})$ of Segal Θ_n-objects in $\mathcal{X}$.

Remark 4.3. Similarly, applying Theorem 4.1 inductively we get for any sequence of positive integers $(n_1,\dots,n_k)$ an equivalence between $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n_1}\times\cdots\times\Theta_{n_k};\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n_1+\cdots+n_k};\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$.

To prove Theorem 4.1, we will use the following analogue of Proposition 3.3:

Proposition 4.4.

(i) Let $i_{1,n}:=i_1\times i_n\colon \mathbb{\Delta}_{\mathrm{i}}\times\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}\to\mathbb{\Delta}\times\Theta_n$. The functor

$$i^*_{1,n}\colon \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\mathbb{\Delta}\times\Theta_n;\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})\to\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\mathbb{\Delta}_{\mathrm{i}}\times\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}};\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$$

has a left adjoint $F_{1,n}$.

(ii) The adjunction $F_{1,n}\dashv i^*_{1,n}$ is monadic.

(iii) The monad $T_{1,n}:=i^*_{1,n}F_{1,n}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\mathbb{\Delta}^n_{\mathrm{i}}\times\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}};\mathcal{X},\mathcal{U})$ satisfies

$$T_{1,n}X([0],C_0)\simeq X([0],C_0),$$

$$T_{1,n}X([1],C_k)\simeq\coprod_{j=0}^{\infty}F_n\tilde{X}(C_k)\times_{X([0],C_0)}\cdots\times_{X([0],C_0)}F_n\tilde{X}(C_k),$$

where $\tilde{X}:=X([1],-)$ and the factor $F_n\tilde{X}(C_k)$ occurs j times.

For the proof we need the following observation:

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose $L:\mathcal{C}\rightleftarrows\mathcal{D}:R$ is an adjunction. Then for any $d\in\mathcal{D}$ there is an adjunction*

$$L_d:\mathcal{C}_{/Rd}\rightleftarrows\mathcal{D}_{/d}:R_d,$$

where $L_d(x\to Rd)$ is the composite $Lx\to LRd\to d$ using the counit, and $R_d(y\to d)$ is $Ry\to Rd$.

Proof. Let $\eta\colon LR\to\mathrm{id}$ be the counit for the adjunction. This determines a natural transformation $\eta_d\colon L_dR_d\to\mathrm{id}$, and the map

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{D}_{/d}}(x,R_dy)\to\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_{/Rd}}(L_dx,L_dR_dy)\to\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_{/Rd}}(L_dx,y)$$

is the map on fibres at $x\to Rd$ of the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(x,Ry) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(Lx,y)\\ \downarrow & & \downarrow\\ \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(x,Rd) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(Lx,d)\end{array}$$

induced by η. Here both horizontal maps are equivalences, since η is the counit of the adjunction $L\dashv R$, hence so is the map on fibres. The natural transformation η_d is therefore the counit of an adjunction $L_d\dashv R_d$ by [Lur09a, Proposition 5.2.2.8]. □

Proof of Proposition 4.4. The functor $i_{1,n}\colon \mathbb{\Delta}_{\mathrm{i}} \times \Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}} \to \mathbb{\Delta} \times \Theta_n$ factors as the composite of inclusions $i'_{1,n} := \mathrm{id} \times i_n\colon \mathbb{\Delta}_{\mathrm{i}} \times \Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}} \to \mathbb{\Delta}_{\mathrm{i}} \times \Theta_n$ and $i''_{1,n} := i_1 \times \mathrm{id}\colon \mathbb{\Delta}_{\mathrm{i}} \times \Theta_n \to \mathbb{\Delta} \times \Theta_n$. Here $(i''_{1,n})^*$ is just i_1^* applied to the presentable ∞-category with good constants $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}), \mathcal{U})$, so by Proposition 3.3 it has a left adjoint, given by $i_{1,!}$.

In the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\mathbb{G}_1 \times \Theta_n; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}) & \xrightarrow{(i'_{1,n})^*} & \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\mathbb{G}_1 \times \Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}) \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & \mathcal{U}, & \end{array}$$

the diagonal maps, given by evaluation at C_0, are Cartesian fibrations by [Hau14b, Corollary 4.52], the functor $(i'_{1,n})^*$ preserves Cartesian morphisms, and by [Hau14a, Lemma 6.4] the morphism on fibres at $U \in \mathcal{U}$ is the functor

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_n; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})_{/U \times U} \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})_{/U \times U}$$

given by composing with i_n, where $U \times U$ denotes the constant presheaf with this value.

By Lemma 4.5 the functor $(i'_{1,n})^*$ therefore has a left adjoint on the fibre over each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, given by applying F_n and composing with the counit map to the constant presheaf. By [Lur14, Proposition 7.3.2.5] this implies that $(i'_{1,n})^*$ has a left adjoint globally, giving (i).

(ii) now follows by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.3(ii), and (iii) by Proposition 3.3 and our description of the left adjoints to $(i'_{1,n})^*$ and $(i''_{1,n})^*$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We first check that $\tau_{1,n}^*$ takes reduced Segal Θ_{n+1}-objects to reduced Segal $\mathbb{\Delta} \times \Theta_n$-objects. For $X \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n+1}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$, we want to show

(1) $(\tau_{1,n}^* X)([0], -)$ is constant and lies in $\mathcal{U}$,
(2) $(\tau_{1,n}^* X)([k], -) \to (\tau_{1,n}^* X)([1], -) \times_{(\tau_{1,n}^* X)([0],-)} \cdots \times_{(\tau_{1,n}^* X)([0],-)} (\tau_{1,n}^* X)([1], -)$ is an equivalence,
(3) $(\tau_{1,n}^* X)([1], -)$ is a reduced Segal Θ_n-object.

The functor $\tau_{1,n}([0], -)$ is constant at $[0]()$, which proves (1). Next, the Segal condition for X implies that

$$X([n](I_1, \dots, I_n)) \xrightarrow{\sim} X([1](I_1)) \times_{X([0]())} \cdots \times_{X([0]())} X([1](I_n)),$$

which in particular gives (2). Finally, $(\tau_{1,n}^* X)([1], -) \simeq X([1](-))$, and the Segal condition also implies that $X([1](-))$ is a reduced Segal Θ_{n-1}-object.

We then have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n+1}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{1,n}^*} & \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\mathbb{\Delta} \times \Theta_n; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{n+1}^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{1,n}^*} \\ \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n+1,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}) & \xrightarrow[\tau_{1,n,\mathrm{i}}^*]{} & \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\mathbb{\Delta}_{\mathrm{i}} \times \Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}). \end{array}$$

Let us next show that the bottom horizontal map here is an equivalence. The functor $\tau_{1,n}$ restricts to a functor $\beta_n\colon \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_n \to \mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ which sends (C_0, C_i) to C_0 and (C_1, C_i) to C_{i+1}. We also define a functor $\alpha_n\colon \mathbb{G}_{n+1} \to \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_n$ by sending C_i to (C_1, C_{i-1}) for $i \geq 1$ and C_0 to (C_0, C_0), with s_i and t_i going to s_{i-1} and t_{i-1}

on the second factor for $i > 1$ and to s_1 and t_1 on the first factor for $i = 1$; then $\beta_n \circ \alpha_n \simeq \mathrm{id}$. If we let $\gamma_{1,n} \colon \mathbb{G}_{n+1} \to \mathbb{\Delta} \times \Theta_n$ denote the composite $(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_n) \circ \alpha_n$, then we have a commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n+1,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}) & \xrightarrow{\tau^*_{1,n,\mathrm{i}}} & \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\mathbb{\Delta}_{\mathrm{i}} \times \Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}) \\ & {\scriptstyle \gamma^*_{n+1}} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle \gamma^*_{1,n},} & \\ & \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathbb{G}_{n+1}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}). & \end{array}$$

The inclusion $\gamma_{n+1} \colon \mathbb{G}_{n+1} \to \Theta_{n+1,\mathrm{i}}$ induces an equivalence $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n+1,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathbb{G}_{n+1}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$, since by definition $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n+1,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_{n+1,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X})$ spanned by the presheaves that are right Kan extensions along γ_{n+1} of the objects of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathbb{G}_{n+1}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$. By the 2-out-of-3 property to see that $\tau^*_{1,n,\mathrm{i}}$ is an equivalence it then suffices to show that the restriction

$$\gamma^*_{1,n} \colon \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\mathbb{\Delta}_{\mathrm{i}} \times \Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathbb{G}_{n+1}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$$

is an equivalence. Observe that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Seg}}(\mathbb{\Delta}_{\mathrm{i}} \times \Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X})$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{\Delta}_{\mathrm{i}} \times \Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X})$ spanned by those presheaves that are right Kan extensions along $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_n$ of presheaves on $\mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_n$. Thus, the restriction $(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_n)^* \colon \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Seg}}(\mathbb{\Delta}_{\mathrm{i}} \times \Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_n; \mathcal{X})$ is an equivalence. Now note that $\beta^*_n \colon \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}_{n+1}; \mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_n; \mathcal{X})$ is fully faithful (since $\alpha^*_n \circ \beta^*_n \simeq \mathrm{id}$) and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\mathbb{\Delta}_{\mathrm{i}} \times \Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$ is precisely the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Seg}}(\mathbb{\Delta}_{\mathrm{i}} \times \Theta_{n,\mathrm{i}}; \mathcal{X})$ whose image in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_n; \mathcal{X})$ lies in the image under β^* of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathbb{G}_{n+1}; \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$.

The vertical maps in the commutative square above are monadic right adjoints by Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 4.4. To see that τ^* is an equivalence it then suffices, by [Lur14, Corollary 4.7.4.16], to show that for every $X \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathbb{G}_n) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{rSeg}}(\Theta_{n+1,\mathrm{i}})$ the unit map $X \to i^*_{n+1} F_{n+1} \simeq i^*_{1,n} \tau^* F_{n+1}$ induces an equivalence $F_{1,n} X \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau^*_{1,n} F_{n+1} X$, or (since $i^*_{1,n}$ detects equivalences) the induced map $(F_{1,n}X)(C_k) \to (F_{n+1}X)(C_k)$ is an equivalence for $k = 0, \dots, n+1$.

To prove this we will rewrite our expression for $(F_{n+1}X)(C_k)$ from Proposition 3.3, which says

$$F_{n+1}X(C_k) \simeq \coprod_{I \in \mathrm{ob}\, \Theta_k} \iota_k^{n+1,*} X(I).$$

Let $(\mathrm{ob}\, \Theta_k)_j$ denote the subset of $\mathrm{ob}\, \Theta_k$ consisting of objects of the form $[j](\cdots)$. Every object $I \in (\mathrm{ob}\, \Theta_k)_j$ admits an obvious active map $[j](C_{k-1}, \dots, C_{k-1}) \to I$, and using this we have by Lemma 2.9 an equivalence

$$\iota_k^{n+1,*} X(I) \simeq \iota_k^{n+1,*} X(I_0) \times_{\iota_k^{n+1,*} X(C_0)} \cdots \times_{\iota_k^{n+1,*} X(C_0)} \iota_k^{n+1,*} X(I_j).$$

Here each I_r is of the form $\sigma_k I'_r$, where $\sigma_k \colon \Theta_{k-1} \to \Theta_k$ is the functor $[1](-)$, and using σ_k we get a bijection $(\mathrm{ob}\, \Theta_k)_j \cong (\mathrm{ob}\, \Theta_{k-1})^j$. Since coproducts over $\mathcal{U}$ are universal, we can rewrite our expression for $F_n X(C_k)$ as

$$\coprod_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\coprod_{I' \in \Theta_{k-1}} \iota_k^{n+1,*} X(\sigma_k I') \right) \times_{X(C_0)} \cdots \times_{X(C_0)} \left(\coprod_{I' \in \Theta_{k-1}} \iota_k^{n+1,*} X(\sigma_k I') \right).$$

Here, as $\iota_k^{n+1} \circ \sigma_k = \sigma_{n+1} \iota_{k-1}^n$, we have equivalences

$$\coprod_{I' \in \Theta_{k-1}} \iota_k^{n+1,*} X(\sigma_k I') \simeq \coprod_{I' \in \Theta_{k-1}} \iota_{k-1}^{n,*} (\sigma^*_{n+1} X)(I') \simeq F_n(\sigma^*_{n+1} X)(C_{k-1}).$$

Comparing this to the expression for $F_{1,n}$ in Proposition 4.4 then completes the proof. □

References

[Bar05] Clark Barwick, (∞, n)-*Cat as a closed model category*, 2005. Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Pennsylvania.

[Bar13] ———, *From operator categories to topological operads* (2013), available at arXiv:1302.5756.

[BSP11] Clark Barwick and Christopher Schommer-Pries, *On the unicity of the homotopy theory of higher categories* (2011), available at arXiv:1112.0040.

[Ber07] Clemens Berger, *Iterated wreath product of the simplex category and iterated loop spaces*, Adv. Math. **213** (2007), no. 1, 230–270.

[BR14] Julia E. Bergner and Charles Rezk, *Comparison of models for* (∞, n)*-categories II* (2014), available at arXiv:1406.4182.

[Hau14a] Rune Haugseng, *Iterated spans and "classical" topological field theories* (2014), available at arXiv:1409.0837.

[Hau14b] ———, *The higher Morita category of* E_n*-algebras* (2014), available at arXiv:1412.8459.

[Hau16] ———, *Bimodules and natural transformations for enriched* ∞*-categories*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **18** (2016), 71–98, available at arXiv:1506.07341.

[Lur09a] Jacob Lurie, *Higher Topos Theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. Available at http://math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/highertopoi.pdf.

[Lur09b] ———, *(∞,2)-Categories and the Goodwillie Calculus I* (2009), available at http://math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/GoodwillieI.pdf.

[Lur14] ———, *Higher Algebra*, 2014. Available at http://math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/higheralgebra.pdf.

[Rez01] Charles Rezk, *A model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 3, 973–1007 (electronic).

[Rez10] ———, *A Cartesian presentation of weak n-categories*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), no. 1, 521–571.

Københavns Universitet, Copenhagen, Denmark

E-mail address: haugseng@math.ku.dk

URL: http://sites.google.com/site/runehaugseng/